


Today's Scedule

| 10.00 | O/W/S Teams Registration | 16.15 | Women/Senior Teams RR (R2) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 14.00 | Women/Senior Teams RR (RI) | 17.30 | Open Teams RR (R3) |
| 14.00 | Open Teams RR (RI) | 18.30 | Women/Senior Teams RR (R3) |
| 15.45 | Open Teams RR (R2) | 19.15 | Open Teams RR (R4) |

## The Mixed Teams Final, second segment

by Jos Jacobs

At the end of the first segment, Vriend were leading Zimmermann 27-I5. This looked a useful enough lead, even more so when on the first two boards of the set, they managed to extend their lead by another 7 IMPs on an overtrick and a double partscore swing. Board 19 was flat, as a seemingly impossible 3NT was let through at both tables in spite of the actual spade lead.

After this brisk start for the Dutch, the French dealt them two severe blows in succession:

Board 20. Dealer West. All Vul.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \& A 72 \\
& \otimes K 1063 \\
& \diamond 5 \\
& \& A 10743
\end{aligned}
$$



Anton Maas, Netherlands

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cronier | Maas | D'Ovidio | Vriend |
| Pass | 12 | $1 \diamond$ | Dbl |
| 2NT | $3 \triangle$ | $3 N T$ | All Pass |

18 was $2+$ and 2NT showed a good raise in diamonds. Had Anton Maas led from his long suit, we would not have had a story but he elected to lead a low heart to dummy's jack. Still, declarer is far away from nine tricks but let's see what happened on the run of the diamonds. The defenders have to find three or four discards, haven't they?

Cronier proceeded to cross in diamonds and lead a spade to the king, which held. Next came four more diamonds, on which North discarded three clubs and a heart, whilst South let go a heart, a spade and a club. The order of play had been such that the last diamond was led from dummy, so South had to find her discard before North. The position at the play of the last diamond was:


As you can see with open cards, South can safely discard a heart. North should discard a club. When South discarded a club, however, declarer had them caught in an endplay whatever North pitched - he actually also discarded a club. Reading the position well, he simply cashed the $\vee A$ and exited in clubs. The defence now could cash two clubs and a heart but then had to play spades from either side to declarer's advantage. Well done by Philippe Cronier, Zimmermann +600 .

In the other room, this was the bidding:

| West <br> Bertens | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I $\diamond$ | Dbl | Verbeek | Willard |
| INT | Pass | 3NT | IQ |
|  | All Pass |  |  |

Against this no-nonsense overbidding North led a nononsense club for a quick two down. Zimmermann another +200 and 13 IMPs to close the gap to just 5.

And the next board:
Board 2I. Dealer North. N/S Vul.


## All Pass

In the Open Room, Maas had no further ambitions when Vriend opened INT, so there it rested. The contract just came home for +90 to Vriend.

In the Closed Room, Multon had other ideas:

| West <br> Bertens | North <br> Multon | East <br> Verbeek | South <br> Willard |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Pass | Pass | INT |
| Pass | $2 \mathbf{2}$ | Pass | $2 \nabla$ |
| Pass | $3 \varnothing$ | Pass | $4 \checkmark$ |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

He ventured a Stayman response and even made a courtesy raise when the heart fit came to light. Willard, holding a clear maximum, accepted the invite and thus the French suddenly found themselves in $4 \checkmark$.

Had Huub Bertens found the diamond lead, we once again would have had no story. A spade lead gave declarer a chance, however, which Sylvie Willard gracefully accepted. She won the king in dummy, crossed to the ex and threw two diamonds on the spades before conceding a diamond to East. A trump came back, of course, but Willard won the ace and exited with a club. East won and led another trump but declarer was in full control, as she could cross-ruff the rest of the hand now. East could win his trump at any time but Willard had 10 tricks, Zimmermann a juicy +620 for another gain of II IMPs. They had taken the lead by 6 IMPs.

Just for the record the defence should still have prevailed after the spade lead. If that was the 2 K and exits with a low diamond to her partner's $\diamond 10$ the timing for the crossruff is disrupted.

A few boards later, the French EW reached an excellent slam:

Board 24. Dealer West. None Vul.
¢ 84
8943
$\diamond$ J 432
\& 9632


In the Closed Room, EW came nowhere near investigating a slam when Bertens' 5-5 remained a secret to his partner.

| West <br> Bertens | North <br> Multon | East <br> Verbeek | South <br> Willard |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 18 | Pass | $1 \mathbf{Q}$ | Pass |
| $2 \triangleleft$ | Pass | $2 N T$ | Pass |
| 3NT | All Pass |  |  |

This straightforward contract yielded 12 tricks. Vriend +490 .

The French had much better ideas about bidding this hand:

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cronier | Maas | D'Ovidio | Vriend |
| 18 | Pass | 19, | Pass |
| $2 \diamond$ | Pass | 3NT | Pass |
| $4 \checkmark$ | Pass | 49 | Dbl |
| 4NT | Pass | 5 | Pass |
| $6 \diamond$ | All Pass |  |  |

The French were not to be frightened by South's double of 4s and bid the slam with confidence once West had made a further move over East's 3NT.The contract is quite playable as it basically needs a 4-3 heart break and one missing trump honour onside. There are, of course, extra chances in clubs, if hearts do not behave.

On a spade lead, declarer won the ace, unblocked the $\vee \mathbf{Q}$, led a trump to the king and ace, ruffed a heart in due course and just conceded a trick to North's $\diamond$ J. Twelve tricks for a glorious +920 and 10 more IMPs to Zimmermann. Their lead had gone up to 15 now.

The French scored again heavily on this board, shortly before the end of the segment:

Board 29. Dealer North. All Vul.

$$
\text { A } 765
$$

©A9 3
$\diamond A$ QJ 5
\& K 5

- 108

QQ 75
$\diamond 972$
\& J 10983


- KJ943
© K 10842
$\diamond-$
- $A$ Q 7

Q 2
P J 6
$\triangleleft$ K 108643

- 642

| West <br> Cronier | North <br> Maas | East <br> D'Ovidio | South <br> Vriend |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $1 \diamond$ | $2 \diamond$ | $4 \diamond$ |
| Pass | $5 \diamond$ | All Pass |  |

After the $2 \triangleleft$ overcall showing majors, it apparently did not occur to Vriend that 3NT by North might be the best spot, though a heart lead makes that contract hard to play. Even after a spade lead, Maas could not avoid three losers: one down, +100 to Zimmermann.


Sylvie Willard, France

Martine Verbeek also showed her major two-suiter but here, Sylvie Willard contented herself with only $3 \diamond$, thus giving room to her partner to settle for 3NT. When Verbeek led the 2 Q , Multon had found his 9 th trick immediately. Even on a heart lead the run of the diamonds would squeeze East to death. Zimmermann +600 and 12 more IMPs to them.

So when the segment ended, the score stood at 64-36 to Zimmermann. Would Vriend be able to recover?

At the start of the final segment, it quickly became clear they would not, as this was the second board:

Board 2. Dealer East. N/S Vul.

|  | AKJ963 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | QJ 53 |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ KJ 102 |  |
|  | - - |  |
| - Q 10 | N | - 842 |
| $\bigcirc 7$ |  | $\bigcirc$ A Q 2 |
| $\diamond$ Q 754 |  | $\checkmark$ A 63 |
| \& Q 10852 | S | \& K 743 |
|  | - 75 |  |
|  | -K109864 |  |
|  | $\checkmark 98$ |  |
|  | * A96 |  |


| West <br> Cronier | North <br> Bertens | East <br> D'Ovidio | South <br> Verbeek |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $1 \mathbf{2}$ | 18 |
| 50 | $5 N T$ | Pass | $6 \%$ |
| Pass | 68 | Dbl | All Pass |

Had Verbeek held the right ace, not at all impossible on this auction, slam would have been a decent proposition. In real life, this hand will go down into history as just another case of a slam missing two vital aces. One off, +200 to Zimmermann.

| West <br> Bakkeren | North <br> Multon | East <br> Arnolds | South <br> Willard |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $3 \boldsymbol{1 0}$ | $4 \%$ | Pass | 48 |
| 3ll Pass | 4 |  |  |

Multon quite rightly splintered over 30 but Willard equally correctly rightly was not interested. So the French came to rest in a safe spot, making with an overtrick for +650 and I 3 IMPs .

The Zimmerman lead now was 48 and from here, the French went on to pile up the IMPs and win the match in convincing style. Our warm congratulations to them on this tremendous success!

## Waldemar Malicki in Concert

On Wednesday evening the President of the Polish Bridge Union, Radek Kielbasinski and Dariusz Blocher, President of the Management Board of Budimex (general Sponsor of the PBU), hosted a Gala Dinner at the Meridian restaurant.
During the evening the guests were entertained by world famous Polish pianist, Waldemar Malicki, and his wife Margaret. Just as in Warsaw in 2006, those who were fortunate enough to be present were treated to a virtuoso performance at the keyboard combined with brilliant humour, in the style of Victor Borge. It will live in the memory long after the last card in these championships has been played.

After explaining that $98 \%$ of Poland's population are national heroes - the other $2 \%$ are pianists - he opened his performance with the famous Warsaw Concerto, composed by Richard Addinsell for the I94I Hollywood movie, Dangerous Moonlight.


That was followed by Luigi Rodolfo Boccherini's minuet from his String Quintet in E, Op. II, No. 5 (G 275) which was popularized through its use in the film The Ladykillers.
Chopin's Polonaise featured the appearance of Margaret, who acted as audience manager with a series of caption cards, the last resulting in one of many standing ovations.

Next came Gabriel's Oboe, by Ennio Morricone, which featured in the 1986 film The Mission. Subsequently Sarah Brightman wrote to Morricone, asking whether he would give her permission to turn this particular piece into a song. He flatly refused. So every two months she

sent yet another begging letter, until he finally relented. That gave rise to the well known Nella Fantasia (In My Fantasy).
In this version Margaret accompanied Waldemar with a virtuoso performance on the cello.


Then came a piece composed by Scott Joplin. Waldemar then explained that there were three famous Russian composers, Lenin, Gargarin....Scott Joplin!
That saw him brilliantly combine more Joplin classics with George Gershwin's Rhapsody in Blue.

His final encore consisted of a brilliant interpretation of The Entertainer, (famously featured in The Sting).

| Semi-finals of the Mixed Pairs Beware, conmen at worlk! <br> by Jos Jacobs |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Two deals from the semi-finals of the Mixed Pairs | West | North | East | South |
| How would you bid the West cards facing a ls opening? | Helgemo | Rombaut | Popova | Frey |
| Ben Handley-Pritchard, playing with Lara Ruso in the semi- |  |  | $1 \diamond$ | 19 |
| finals of the Mixed Pairs, found an ingenious way to advance | INT | 20 | Pass | Pass |
| his side's cause. (Zbigniew Sagan of the directing staff re- | Dbl | All Pass |  |  | ported this.)

Board 9. Dealer North. E/WVul.

- 10
$\bigcirc 982$
$\triangleleft A 1042$
-KJ864

(I) Minimum or 18-19 balanced
(2) Exclusion Blackwood!

Ben's jump to $5 \diamond$ was based on the logical plan that he did not mind playing a grand slam if he could avoid the diamond lead. After the response of two key cards he upped and bid 7s and on a heart lead Lara wrapped up 13 tricks.
The next con-trick came in the play rather than the bidding.
Board IO. Dealer East. All Vul.
$\rightarrow 5$
$\bigcirc$ AJ 3
$\diamond 9643$
2 K Q 1083
\& K J 107
ค 876
$\diamond$ A Q 8
2 764

¢ 984
『K 954
$\diamond$ K J 72
\& A 2
\& AQ632
$\bigcirc$ Q 102
$\diamond 105$

- J 95

Jerome Rombaut played in 2\%x after Popova's nebulous diamond had persuaded Helgemo to double 2\%. On a diamond lead (yes a trump might have simplified proceedings) Helgemo won the $\diamond Q$ and played a trump to the ace for a second trump. Rombaut won in hand, and inferred from the earlier play that both major-suit finesses had to be failing. He played a spade to the ace and ruffed a spade, sneaked a low heart through to the ten, ruffed a spade, and exited with a diamond in this position:


When Rombaut led his low diamond from hand Popova did her best to unblock diamonds by putting in her jack. Helgemo overtook and played back his trump, but Rombaut won and exited with the diamond nine, forcing Popova to win. She could cash her long diamond but had to lead a heart into the tenace at the end, and that was eight tricks for declarer.


The EBL and the PBU extend a warm welcome to EBL Honour President, Bill Pencharz, and EBL President Emeritus, José Damiani.

# Vintage Penfold 

by Brian Senior

Penfolds Grange is an Australian wine, made predominantly from the Shiraz (Syrah) grape and usually a small percentage of Cabernet Sauvignon. It is widely considered Australia's "first growth" and its most collectable wine, commanding a high price even in poor vintages. (The Editor is known to have several bottles in his cellar.) On this deal from the fifth session of the Mixed Pairs semifinal, the fates conspired to leave her in a very poor contract, but Sandra managed to turn what was going to be a dreadful result into an almost acceptable one.

Board 26. Dealer East. All Vul.

- 5
$\bigcirc 106$
$\diamond$ AJ 10
- AQJIO 983


| West | $\begin{array}{l}\text { North } \\ \text { Senior }\end{array}$ | East | $\begin{array}{c}\text { South } \\ \text { Penfold }\end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $3 \diamond$ | 3NT | Pass | Pass |
| 3NT | $4 \curvearrowright$ |  |  |

## All Pass

By this stage of the semi final we were was in dire need of points, so when West cramped the auction I decided not to mention my club suit. When Sandra bid $4 \vee I$ assumed she would be 5-5 and rather than complicate matters further I decided to pass.

West led a diamond and when the dummy appeared Sandra may have regretted not having bid $4 \diamond$. On the face of it $4 \oslash$ is hopeless, but she was not prepared to go quietly into the night. She calmly put in dummy's ten of diamonds and East won with the queen. Looking at dummy, East was keen to stop declarer ruffing spades and switched to the two of hearts. Sandra ran that to dummy's ten, drew trumps and had salvaged a lot from the potential wreckage.

## Triple Play

by Michael Yuen

In baseball, a triple play is the rare act of making three outs during the same continuous play. The unassisted triple play, a triple play in which only one fielder handles the ball, is the least common type of triple play, and is arguably the rarest occurrence in baseball: it has happened only 15 times in the Modern Era. Triple plays, even of the unassisted variety, are not extraordinarily difficult for major league fielders to achieve; their rarity is due to their dependence on specific circumstances arising in a game.

Israel's Lotan Fisher executed a rare triple squeeze on this deal from the fifth qualifying session of the Mixed Pairs:

Board II. Dealer North. None Vul.


After an auction best consigned to the waste paper basket of history, South led the queen of hearts against 6NT and declarer ducked, won the next trick with the ace of hearts and played a diamond to the jack, North discarding a heart, a manoeuvre that was repeated on the next two rounds of the suit. However, the next diamond saw North caught in a triple squeeze.
If he discarded a spade dummy's spades would be good for five tricks.
Parting with a club would hand over five club tricks.
Parting with a heart would see declarer cash the ten of hearts, squeezing North in the black suits.
When North parted with a spade, declarer read the position correctly and cashed the top spade to record +990 and a 94.1 I\% score.

## Souvenir Cards

The cards that you have been playing with are now for sale at Jannersten's sales stand (next to the coffee bar).

## Championship Diary



Watching the exciting final of the Mixed Teams Championship we saw one hand where a defender had to chose between leading from $\diamond / 3$ and $\% 105$.
One handed the declarer the contract on a plate, the other would have made them work much harder.
How to decide?
If this were a TV show one might phone a friend? How unlucky if his Internet connection was down!
Or perhaps you would prefer to ask the BBO audience? At best that would probably leave you back where you started with a $50-50$ proposition.

When morale is low and members of the Bulletin contemplate falling on their swords I remind them of Dorothy Parker's epithet:

Razors pain you;
Rivers are damp;
Acids stain you;
And drugs cause cramp.
Guns aren't lawful;
Nooses give;
Gas smells awful;
You might as well live.
England's Stephanie Rohan asked if the playing schedule for each event could be published a day in advance to allow more time to plan activities?! An interesting idea.

Congratulations to our head TD's daugher, 14 year old Nadia Riccardi, who after 8 years studying has passed her examinations $100 \%$ cum laude! Bravissimo!

Affairs of state meant that almost all the staff had to leave before yesterday's Bulletin was printed. One member of the team gallantly remained at his post to complete what we affectionately term the idiot's check. When he arrived this morning he sheepishly confessed that he had fallen at the first fence.

Tacchi tells me he is a little worried that he has not yet had a reply from the EBL a propos his proposal to host the 2012 EBL Championships in Vaupillon. The highlight of this event would be a barbecue in his back garden for the EBL Executive to help celebrate his fortieth wedding anniversary on the 24th June 20 I 2.

## Beer Card à la Belge

by Herman De Wael

Tine Dobbels and Rutger Van Mechelen were one of only two Belgian pairs in the Mixed Pairs competition. They did not trouble the scorers, but this board from the last session of the Qualification provided some light relief:

| Board 9. Dealer North. E/W Vul. |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - KJ1072 |  |  |  |
| $\bigcirc 5$ |  |  |  |
| $\checkmark$ KJ9832 |  |  |  |
|  | - 4 |  |  |
| - A 543 | N |  | - Q 986 |
| $\bigcirc 843$ |  |  | ¢AK 1076 |
| $\checkmark 5$ |  |  | $\checkmark 76$ |
| 2KJ832 |  |  | -106 |
|  | - - |  |  |
|  | $\bigcirc$ Q |  |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ A |  |  |
|  | 2 A |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Rutger |  | Tine |  |
|  | Pass | Pass | 19 |
| Pass | $1 \diamond$ | 20 | 34 |
| Dble | $4 \bigcirc$ | Pass | 4 |
| Pass | 4NT | Pass | 5 |
| Pass | 6 | Pass | Pass |
| Dble | All Pass |  |  |

2\% showed precisely five hearts and four spades, and Rutger's (first) double showed the $\boldsymbol{\Delta}$.

After the 9 K and 10 return, declarer must have realized he was not going to make his slam, but he tried it on a cross-ruff anyway. North ruffed four clubs and a heart, and South ruffed four spades, but that left a last trick in which the $\mathbf{~ K}$ was ruffed with the $\diamond 7$.

South was the first to remark that the beer card had taken the last trick, and she said "and in defense, that must score two beers." Rutger commented "it was doubled, that makes it four beers."

Pedants who think the beer rule does not apply when diamonds are trumps know nothing of the usual Belgian interpretation, where this exception does not count. The low price of beer in Belgium is the cause for that.

However,Tine does not drink beer, so "Garçon, quatre pastis, svp!"

## Meckstroth: "I can get better’

Here's a scary thought for the bridge players of the world: Jeff Meckstroth, certainly on the short list of the planet's best players, thinks he has room for improvement.

The eight-time world champion and ACBL's top masterpoint holder - he is closing in on 70,000 - is in Poznan playing in the Mixed Pairs, with his wife, Sally. Today, he joins the Jim Mahaffey squad in the Open Teams.

Although Jeff and Sally have not done as well as they had hoped in the Mixed Pairs, Meckstroth is enjoying himself in Poland.
"We love to come to Europe," he says. "I really like the cool weather."

The Nick Nickell team, for whom Meckstroth has played for nearly 20 years, did not qualify to play in the World Bridge Championships in the Netherlands this fall, but Meckstroth and his regular partner, Eric Rodwell, will be there to compete in the Transnational Open Teams. Their teammates are Francesco Angelini (team captain), Fulvio Fantoni, Claudio Nunes and Boye Brogeland.

High-level competition is just what the doctor ordered as far as Meckstroth is concerned. "That's what I live for," he says. "I want to battle against the best players."

Meckstroth arrived in Poznan in a good mood, having just bought a new house in Clearwater Beach FL, in the Tampa area. The boat he likes to take for rides around the Gulf of Mexico will be right there at the new home.
At one point in his life, Meckstroth seriously considered professional golf for his career, and he still enjoys the game. The last time he played, he shot a 78."I still hit the ball pretty good," he says, "but l'm inconsistent. I don't like to practice."

Many experts have difficulty playing bridge with their wives, but not Meckstroth. "Sally has really improved," he says. "She loves to play and I really enjoy playing with her." They even play at the local club once or twice a week when he is home - about half of the time. He still maintains a busy tournament schedule - and probably will win the Barry Crane Top 500 (most masterpoints in a calendar
year) again in 2011. He has already won it a record 10 times.

It's the big events that really get Meckstroth's attention, and he never gets tired of playing.
"I love the game," he says. "It's the puzzle-solving nature of the game that I like, and bridge players are neat people. They are accepting of anyone. I like a group where everyone is welcome - and bridge players are more intelligent that the average person."

Bridge, he adds, is the greatest game in the world "because there is just the right element of luck involved."

Much of Meckstroth's success is owing to his fierce competitive nature, but he also credits his ability to concentrate. "It's something I learned at a young age," Meckstroth says. "I am able to check everything at the door - it's bridge and nothing else. Once I take the cards out, I'm in the zone."

Given the average age of the ACBL player, Meckstroth is still relatively young at 55 - and he is now eligible to play in ACBL Senior events. Although he says he is not eager to play in Senior events, when Rodwell becomes eligible they might consider it.

In fact, Meckstroth says with a smile, "You can write that down - Eric and I are looking for a team for the Senior Knockout in 2012."

## Thanks

The people of Poznan have greatly contributed to make the 5th European Open Bridge Championships a success.
Their kindness, the warmth of their hospitality is met all over the city, on the streets, hotels and restaurants. We are welcomed everywhere with a wonderful smile.
A very special thought of gratitude to a faithful friend of Lebanon - Krzystof Martens, who inspired us to come to Poland and share his love of this country.

The Lebanese Team

# The Mixed pairs Final, session one <br> by Jos Jacobs 

During the opening session of the Mixed Pairs final on Thursday morning, a lot of interesting hands and challenging pairs positions cropped up, as you can easily imagine. Here is a summary of what drew my attention for any bridge reason possible.

As usual in pairs events, you had to be wide awake right from the first board:

Board I. Dealer North. None Vul.

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Q } \\ & \vee 108752 \\ & \diamond 102 \\ & \text { Q } 8765 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A AK 1062 | N | 1.J8543 |
| $\bigcirc 6$ |  | $\bigcirc 943$ |
| $\checkmark$ Q 843 | W E | $\checkmark$ A 65 |
| - AJ 10 | S | - 42 |
|  | - 97 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc$ AKQJ |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ KJ 97 |  |
|  | \& K 93 |  |

E/W can make 4s but game was bid at only about half the number of tables in play. N/S have a good save available in either rounded suit.At a few tables, $N / S$ even were allowed to play in $4 \checkmark$, for example at this one:

| West <br> Ivanov | North <br> Cichocki | East <br> Ivanova | South <br> Hocheker |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Pass | Pass | INT |
| $2 \boldsymbol{2 s}$ | $3 \Omega$ | $3 \boldsymbol{s}$ | $4 \checkmark$ |
| Dbl | All Pass |  |  |

In the end, it all came down to the diamond guess. Had Cichocki guessed right, he would have taken nearly all the matchpoints since his compatriots Kowalski-Miszewska were the only other ones to only go one down and thus lose 100 in $4 \checkmark$. Guessing wrong, for down two, was still worth well over average: $61 \%$.

At another table, Madala-Rimstedt were the only pair to take the save with clubs as trumps:

| West <br> Bocchi | North <br> Madala | East <br> Auken | South <br> Rimstedt |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Pass | Pass | INT |
| 24 | $2 N T$ | 32 | 42 |
| Pass | Pass | $4 \varrho$ | Pass |
| Pass | $5 \%$ | Pass | Pass |
| Dbl | All Pass |  |  |

Once 2NT established the club fit, the hearts were no longer worth further investigation. Of course, declarer's heart holding was a good asset but there was nothing wrong with the actual choice of trumps at this layout. Two down on a correct diamond guess, so 61\% to N/S here as well.

On the other board of this round, declaring a slam was the issue.As it happened, at these same two tables one declarer went down whereas the other one made the slam. How come?

Board 2. Dealer East. N/S Vul.

```
& 10765
& 106
\diamondA109764
&}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline N & - 84 \\
\hline & \(\bigcirc\) AKQ 954 \\
\hline W E & \(\checkmark 3\) \\
\hline S & - Q 942 \\
\hline Q Q 3 & \\
\hline QJ3 & \\
\hline \(\checkmark 2\) & \\
\hline ¢ KJ108 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

- AK 92
๑872
$\diamond \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{QJ}} 85$
- A

This is a very good slam indeed on any reasonable layout of the N/S cards. This time, however, declarer had to be extremely careful.

| West <br> Ivanov | North Cichocki | East Ivanova | South Hocheker |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 18 | 29 |
| Dbl | Pass | $2 \bigcirc$ | Pass |
| 3 - | Pass | 38 | Pass |
| 4\% | Pass | $4 \bigcirc$ | Pass |
| 4NT | Pass | 54. | Pass |
| $6 \bigcirc$ | All Pass |  |  |

After this none - too revealing auction, South led the $\diamond 2$. Apparently, few declarers believed this to be a singleton, or possibly hoped for North holding $\Omega \mathrm{JlO}$ because the slam went down 10 times out of I2. North wins the ace and returns the suit, and declarer does not ruff with an honour. On a black suit lead, declarer may as well try to ruff a club in dummy before drawing trumps, only to find out that North can overruff immediately. Nasty.

Ivanova went down one when she ruffed the diamond return with the 89 but this was still worth $40 \%$ of the matchpoints.

At another table, Sabine Auken showed the way to make the contract, but the auction at her table had been different.

| West Bocchi | North Madala | East Auken | South <br> Rimstedt |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 18 | 3\% |
| 4\% | Pass | $4 \diamond$ | Pass |
| 49 | Pass | 5 | Pass |
| 68 | All Pass |  |  |

After the 3 -overcall, the $\diamond 2$ was obvious a singleton but it was also clear from the beginning that clubs would be 7I.This meant that ruffing any clubs in dummy before drawing trumps was ino good. As she needed one club ruff anyway, this meant that trumps had to be 2-2. Of course, she would always go down if South held three trumps by way of the trump promotion at trick two. So the final conclusion had to be that ruffing high was the most likely way to have any chance at all to make this contract. When the remaining trumps duly appeared under the $\ulcorner A K$, all was well. As Kater-Townsend were the only other pair to make the slam, +980 was worth $96 \%$ of the matchpoints.

The session winners, Einarsson and Efraimsson, also did well on this board when they collected +800 from 30 doubled, not bothering about a slam but registering a solidenough $86 \%$ as their score.

The next board did not do the same pair any harm at all, but they might have done even better, as was demonstrated at a few other tables:

Board 4. Dealer West. All Vul.


Perfect defence will result in down four. After getting the club ruff, East should cash her $\vee \mathbf{A}$ first before all the top spades are taken. Then, the last black card from West cre-
ates a trump promotion for the 4th undertrick. This +1100 defence was found twice (96\%) so when Einarsson and Efraimsson collected +800 , they had to be content with "only" 83\%.

On the next board, Bocchi-Auken found a peculiar save:

Board 5. Dealer North. N/S Vul.

- A Q J

QKJ 1072
$\diamond 10752$

- 10


| West <br> Bocchi | North <br> Cichocki | East <br> Auken | South <br> Hocheker |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $I \S$ | Pass | $4 \diamond$ |
| Dbl | $4 \oslash$ | $4 N T$ | Pass |
| $5 \diamond$ | Dbl | All Pass |  |

$4 \diamond$ showed a good raise, so Auken went for a save in either minor, expecting many more diamonds in West. In fact, 4s is the place to be as this will certainly not go down more than three. In his strange contract, Bocchi thus had to find a way to make at least eight tricks. As you can see,


Miroslaw Cichocki, Poland
this is far from easy but the situation was such that his opponents were very much in the dark as well.

A heart was led to the ace and South returned a spade on which North played the jack. Dummy's king won and Bocchi played on spades from dummy. North took both the ace and queen before finally leading another heart. Bocchi discarded a club and now, North led his club. When South won her ace but did not give her partner a ruff, that was the end of the defence. One way or another, declarer had managed to get to eight tricks for $82 \%$ of the matchpoints.

Board 7 was a sensational hand. The layout is such that I3 tricks are available in three denominations: diamonds, spades and NT. Even so, slam is not a very good contract to be in, though $6 \diamond$ by South definitely is close to being decent enough. As you are missing QJ9x, trumps have to be 2-2.

Board 7. Dealer South. All Vul.

- K 10852

Q Q J 4
$\diamond 10763$

- 6
- 643
- K 10876
$\diamond 9$
\& 974

Only two declarers were in slam, both in 6s and they both went down. Below, we shall see why.
From the many pairs who were in 44, only two collected all the tricks: Sussel-Canonne and:

| West <br> Marina | North <br> McGann | East <br> Stegaroiu | South <br> Brown |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $2 N$ |
| Pass | $2 \triangleleft$ | Pass | $2 N T$ |
| Pass | $3 \checkmark$ | Pass | $3 \Phi$ |
| Pass | $3 N T$ | Pass | $4 \Phi$ |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

West led his singleton $\forall 9$, thus blowing the defensive trick in the suit when declarer covered with dummy's ten. Next came the $\uparrow \mathrm{A}$ and now, noting the fall of the jack, declarer settled for 12 tricks (apparently) as she led a spade to the king. Had East shown out, she would have given West his trump trick and later taken the diamond finesse. Also, the © might have been a false card from QJx or whatever.

When the queen appeared, the rest was easy: last trump and diamond finesse. Making all 13 tricks was of course worth the now familiar number of $96 \%$.

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Kokten | Ritmeijer | Aluf | Ticha |
|  |  |  | 2 |
| Pass | 2 | Pass | 2NT |
| Pass | 38 | Pass | 3s |
| Pass | 3NT | Pass | 49 |
| Pass | 4NT | Pass | 5\% |
| Pass | 64 | All Pass |  |

Ticha was in the same position as Brown at our previous table when West also led the $\diamond 9$. Possibly, she might have realised that only few pairs would be in slam, in which case making 12 tricks after this revealing lead would already be a good score. Along this line of thinking she would no doubt have played off 4 AK when the jack dropped. When she took the second-round trump finesse instead, thereby risking the contract (not the play of a chicken), East returned a diamond for West to ruff. That put the contract one off for a near-zero.

One declarer managed to go two off and we can so easily understand why. He played the slam as North so he had to cope with a club lead. He first lost a trump trick and then, both a diamond trick and a heart, as East had returned a heart after winning his Q...

Bridge is a cruel game.
Board 9. Dealer North. E/WVul.

|  | ¢ AK 4 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\bigcirc 10742$ |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ Q 10 |  |
|  | 26543 |  |
| ¢ 10876 | N | ¢ Q 32 |
| $\bigcirc$ - | W E | $\checkmark$ Q 983 |
| $\diamond$ A 753 |  | $\diamond$ K 982 |
| Q Q J 1087 | S | \& A 2 |
|  | ¢ J 95 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc$ AKJ 65 |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ J 64 |  |
|  | ¢ K 9 |  |

On board 9, E/W stole the 5-4 fit from N/S by simply opening in that suit:

| West <br> Efraimsson | North <br> Yuen | East <br> Einarsson | South <br> Nehmert |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Pass | I 8 | Pass |
| 19 | Pass | INT | Pass |
| $\mathbf{2 0}$ | All Pass |  |  |

This went quietly one down but it brought E/W 93\% as the popular contract was of course $2 \boxtimes$ for N/S, making with an overtrick. Note that on a club lead and continuation declarer can start hearts by leading the 8 IO. If East covers, declarer makes 170!

The session winners handed back the advantage on the other board at this table, when they were one of the few pairs to miss game altogether:

Board IO. Dealer East. All Vul.

|  | ¢ 96 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ค 65432 |  |  |
|  | $\checkmark 6$ |  |  |
|  | 29832 |  |  |
| ¢ 85 | N |  | Q 1072 |
| $\bigcirc \mathrm{KJI} 109$ | $W \quad E$ |  |  |
| $\diamond 1098743$ |  |  | < 52 |
| \% K | S |  |  |
|  | ¢ KJ4 3 |  |  |
|  | $\bigcirc 87$ |  |  |
|  | $\diamond$ Q J |  |  |
|  | \& A 10764 |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Efraimsson | Yuen | Einarsson | Nehmert |
|  |  | 190 | Pass |
| $1 \diamond$ | 18 | 19 | Pass |
| $2 \diamond$ | Pass | Pass | $2 \bigcirc$ |
| Dbl | Pass | $3 \checkmark$ | All Pass |

Both 18 and $I \diamond$ were conventional in the E/W system but they did not quite manage to exchange the necessary information. Two Hearts would probably have gone for 500 and it also gave E/W a chance to reach game after all which would, probably have been doubled in that event...

Scoring +I50 was only worth $21 \%$ but reaching 3NT would net you at least an $80 \%$ score as many pairs were in $5 \diamond$. The good heart spots will give you two overtricks at NT.

Board II proved that to get a good matchpoint score, you need not necessarily be in your best fit:

| Board II. Dealer South. None Vul. |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - Q 9542 |  |  |  |
| $\bigcirc 74$ |  |  |  |
| $\diamond$ J 1065 |  |  |  |
| 2 8 |  |  |  |
| - A 3 |  | $\pm$ |  |
| $\bigcirc 92$ | W |  | ) 83 |
| $\diamond$ A Q 83 |  |  |  |
| \%KQ932 |  |  | 765 |
| ¢ 1087 |  |  |  |
| $\bigcirc$ A 1065 |  |  |  |
| $\diamond$ K 972 |  |  |  |
| \% A 4 |  |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Pszczoła | Zack | Molson | Saada |
|  |  |  | Pass |
| INT | $2 \diamond$ | $3 \diamond$ | 34 |
| Pass | Pass | $4 \bigcirc$ | All Pass |

$2 \diamond$ showed one major, after which Janice Seamon-Molson could easily settle for $4 \bigcirc$ in view of partner's INT offshape opening bid. Well done, just made and worth $89 \%$ as she was not the only one to record this feat.

On board I3, Sun showed good declarer play by fully exploiting the defenders' problems:

| Board 13. Dealer North. All Vul. |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ¢ 652 |  |  |  |
| - A 7643 |  |  |  |
| $\checkmark$ K 109 |  |  |  |
| \& Q 10 |  |  |  |
| Q Q 7 |  |  | - A 984 |
| $\bigcirc$ K Q J | W E |  | $\bigcirc 9$ |
| $\diamond J 4$ |  |  | $\triangleleft$ A 87653 |
| $\text { K K } 9873$ | S |  | - A 2 |
|  | - KJ 103 |  |  |
|  | $\bigcirc 10852$ |  |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ Q 2 |  |  |
|  | \% 654 |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Sun | Bakkeren | Yan | Arnolds |
|  | Pass | $1 \diamond$ | Pass |
| 2NT | Pass | $3 \bigcirc$ | Pass |
| 3NT | All Pass |  |  |

North led a heart to declarer's jack and a club went to the ace, declarer noting the fall of the 10 . If this is a true card, playing for the drop of the queen is best, as a singleton 10 will not help you at all. When the queen duly appeared, declarer rattled off all the clubs, on which North discarded three hearts and South two hearts and a spade. When declarer exited with a top heart, North won and got off play with the $\triangleleft K$ where a low diamond would have been better. Now, declarer could win with the ace and return the suit, forcing South to win her queen and to lead away from her $\mathbf{\varphi} K$. Thus, the $\Phi Q$ scored as well and declarer finished with II tricks for $93 \%$.

Two boards later, Sun and Yan handed back these matchpoints:

Board I5. Dealer South. N/S Vul.


| West <br> Kokten | North <br> Sun | East <br> Aluf | South <br> Yan |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 30 | 40 | 50 | 2 <br> 30 |

$2 \triangleleft$ showed both majors. After this weak opening bid, neither North nor South knew they had a double of 5\%. Two down undoubled was still worth $25 \%$, for NS as three N/S pairs went on to five of a major...+300 would have netted 64\%.

The last round of the morning session started with a 3NT missed at many tables, in fact it was only reached six times. One declarer went two down after a top club lead, when he misguessed the $\diamond \mathbf{Q}$.
Rubins, however, took all the matchpoints:

Board 19. Dealer South. E/WVul.

- 1062

ค」10982
$\triangleleft 83$
$\% K$ Q J
\& Q 97

- K Q 43
$\diamond$ AJ 7
\& 852

, 843
$\triangleright$ A 6
$\diamond$ K 10942
\& A 74
- AKJ 5
$\bigcirc 75$
$\triangleleft$ Q 65
\& 10963


Heather Dhondy, England

| West <br> Rubins | North <br> Dhondy J | East <br> Romanovska | South <br> Dhondy H |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pass | Pass | $2 \triangleleft$ | Pass |
| 3NT | All Pass |  | Pass |

Declarer won the heart lead with the ace and next played a diamond to the ace and a diamond to South's queen. He then put up the successfully when South returned a low spade and thus emerged with 10 tricks, a feat equalled by nobody.

The Chinese pair of Sun and Yan feature again in this story. On board 19, their French opponents had bid and had made 3NT against them for a meagre 18\% to the Chinese, so their revenge on the next and final board was even sweeter. However, the outcome might have been completely different:

Board 20. Dealer West. All Vul.
, K 83
$\checkmark$ A Q 1063
$\diamond 97$
\% Q J 10

- 196
- K 8542
$\diamond A 102$
95

- 102
$\bigcirc 97$
$\diamond K 83$
2 AK 6432
- A Q 754
$\nabla J$
$\diamond$ QJ 654
\& 87

| West <br> Reess | North <br> Sun | East <br> Mauberquez | South <br> Yan |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pass | 18 | $2 \boldsymbol{2 e}$ | Dbl |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

Now this is an interesting penalty pass by North but he was quite right because the contract should go down one, the infamous kiss of death. Declarer is bound to lose four tricks in the majors, as well as a trick in either minors. +200 was worth $93 \%$.

However, declarer might have made the contract had he been clairvoyant. When the $\vee \mathrm{J}$ held, South continued a low diamond...which he ran to his eight, and understandably so.

As I said earlier: bridge is a cruel game, even more so at matchpoints.


## Swings and roundabouts

by Brent Manley

It has been a roller coaster ride of sorts for Jeff Meckstroth and his wife, Sally Chapleau, in the Mixed Pairs. They did not make it to the A Final, but they didn't let the disappointment of that circumstance affect their efforts in the $B$ Final.

These deals are from the second session on Thursday.

## Board I3. Dealer North. Both Vul.

$$
76
$$

©AKJIO93
$\checkmark$ A 93

- 103



Sally Chapleau, USA

East started with a low diamond. Meckstroth ducked when West played the 10 . Next came the Q , followed by another diamond. Meckstroth took the $\forall \mathbf{A}$ and cashed two high hearts, following with the $\vee \mathrm{J}$ to West's queen. West could have assured one down by continuing with a diamond, but he no doubt envisioned a fourth diamond in his partner's hand because of her raise to $3 \diamond$. He therefore exited with a club to the 3,8 and ace.

A spade went to East's 10 , and she exited with the $\mathbb{2}$. Meckstroth won the sK, ruffed a spade to hand and ran his trumps. East apparently believed West held five diamonds, and West apparently was playing his partner for four diamonds, so they both held onto clubs. At trick I3, Meckstroth produced the $\diamond 3$, his ninth trick, good for $78.84 \%$.

Two boards later, they took advantage of another mistake by an opponent for their best board of the day.

Board I5. Dealer South.N/S Vul.


Chapleau started the defense with her singleton club. Meckstroth took the A and returned the 6. Declarer thought things over for a bit before going up with the king. That play sealed her fate. Chapleau ruffed and exited with a low diamond as instructed by Meckstroth with his suitpreference 6.

Declarer went up with the ace, drew trumps and played a club. Meckstroth took the and played a heart to his partner's ace. Two down was good $98.09 \%$.

The next board produced another fine score for the American couple.

Board 16.West Dealer. E/W Vul.

- 10965
$\bigcirc 3$
- K 1082
- 982

| - AK | N | - 1872 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| QJ9642 |  | Q A 105 |
| $\checkmark$ A 9 |  | $\diamond$ QJ 754 |
| - A 754 | S | -6 |
|  | - Q 43 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc$ K Q 87 |  |
|  | $\checkmark 63$ |  |
|  | \& K Q 103 |  |


| West | North <br> Meckstroth | East | South <br> Chapleau |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| INT | Pass | 2NT* | Pass |
| $3 \diamond$ | Pass | $3 \mathbf{2}$ | Pass |
| 3NT | All Pass |  |  |

2NT was a diamond transfer, and East told Meckstroth the $3 \triangleleft$ bid indicated that he had a diamond fit with her.

Meckstroth started with a low club to the queen and ace. West played the 89 at trick two, playing low from dummy. Chapleau won the $\triangleleft Q$ and played the e K . Meckstroth overtook the 10 with the jack and cashed the exiting with the 9 . Chapleau put in the queen, and the best declarer could do from there was to cash out. Going plus when the opponents are cold for $4 \checkmark$ was good for $74.01 \%$ for Meckstroth and Chapleau.

Surprisingly, their score on the final board of the set did not eclipse their plus 100 on board 13 .

Board 20. Dealer.West Both Vul.

- A Q 983
$\bigcirc 103$
$\diamond$ Q 10
Q Q 1095


| West | North <br> Meckstroth | East | South <br> Chapleau |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2 \boldsymbol{*}^{*}$ | Pass | $2 \triangleleft^{*}$ | Pass |
| 2NT | Pass | Pass | $3 \nabla$ |
| Dbl | All Pass |  |  |

2 was Precision (natural, limited) and $2 \triangleleft$ was an artificial asking bid.

Most Precision players would bid with the East hand as a forward-going but not forcing bid - not that it would have worked out so well given the 5-I split in spades.
At any rate, Chapleau considered her move over 2NT for a bit before balancing in her shorter red suit.

West's double no doubt was predicated on his partner's holding more than 8 HCP .

He cashed the and switched to the Chapleau went up with the ace and played the 810 , running it when East played low. Two more trumps took care of the heart suit, and Chapleau played a low diamond to dummy's queen. East took the $\diamond \mathrm{A}$ and returned a club. Chapleau ruffed and played a second diamond, claiming an overtrick when West produced the king. Plus 930 was good for 92.94\% of the matchpoints.

## Duplimate Discounts

The Duplimate dealing machines used at these championships will be sold at the end of the event with a $20 \%$ discount. Visit the Jannersten Bookshop on the first floor.

## Truck in advertising (the deal without a lay-out)

by Jan van Cleeff

One day after winning the gold medal in the mixed teams all journalists present were ready for a great hand when Pierre Zimmermann entered the pressroom with a big smile. "Sorry guys, this may be a little disappointing. I do have a hand for you, but it has no lay-out."

Pierre was referring to a lead against a spade game in the first leg of the mixed pairs finals $A$ :

| LHO | Pierre | RHO | B. Cronier |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Pass | $2 \checkmark$ | Pass |
| $2 \boldsymbol{4}$ | Pass | $4 \boldsymbol{\omega}$ | All Pass |

When Pierre kicked off with the 98 his screen mate the lady who declared the hand - asked about his lead methods. Pierre wrote down: SINGLETON.

The lead turned out to be a singleton indeed. The full hand was a cold 4ithout further interest, so don't bother about the lay-out. Pierre's statement though could be considered as a humorous and somewhat perverted example of Active Ethics.

## CO SKYCHAĆ?

## BRYDżTO GŁUPIA GRA

Brydż porównawczy jest na pewno gra sprawiedliwą, w długim dystansie premiującą lepszych, ale niejednokrotnie można usłyszeć stwierdzenie: „brydż to głupia gra". Faktycznie, czynnik losowy bywa czasem bardzo istotny. A do jakiego stopnia? Popatrzmy na jedno z rozdań finałowego meczu o mistrzostwo Europy teamów mikst:

Rozd. 4. RozdawałW Obie po partii

-     - 

$\triangleright A$
$\diamond$ Q 9854
\& A Q 109852


| West <br> Cronier | North <br> Bertens | East <br> d'Ovidio | South <br> Verbeek |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| pas | $1 \boldsymbol{2}$ 2 | 2BA' | ktr. |
| pas | pas | $3 \mathbf{2}$ | ktr. |
| $3 \triangleleft$ | ktr. | pas... |  |

I) Michaels cue bid - dwukolorówka kara+kiery

Być może 3e wprowadzało do gry kolor pikowy? W każdym razie para francuska wybrała do gry kara, które N ochoczo skontrował. N zawistował asem trefl. Rozgrywający przebił i zagrał damę pik, S puściła, a N przebił atutem i kontynuował treflem. Ze stołu kier i S zagrała kiera do asa u partnera. Kontrakt ten zakończył się wpadką bez trzech, za 800.
Na drugim stole N nie dążył do karcenia przeciwników za wszelką cenę:

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bakkeren | Multon | Arnolds | Willard |
| pas | $1 \%$ | 2BA' | ktr. |
| rktr. | 4\% | pas | $4 \diamond$ |
| pas | $4 \bigcirc$ | pas | 49 |
| ktr. | 6\% | pas... |  |

I) Michaels cue bid - dwukolorówka kara+kiery

6e jest kontraktem co najmniej lekko przeostrzonym - abstrahując od licytacji, po złączeniu atutów, musi spadać trzeci król kier... A po sprzedaniu przez E dwukolorówki z kierami, szlemik wydaje się bez szans. Nawet po ściągnięciu figury karo na pierwszym wiście, po zobaczeniu w dziadku ręki S, zagranie w atu jest oczywiste. Ale, jak ktoś kiedyś powiedział,

Bóg błogosławi zwycięskim batalionom - trefle dzieliły się 30 , wistujący na szlemika nie mógł ich połączyć na pierwszym wiście, a ręka posiadająca atuty nie dochodziła... 6\% zostało wygrane i przyniosło Francuzom II imp zysku.
Gdyby trefle były 2-I, bądź figury karo były rozdzielone, na 6\% nastąpiłaby wpadka i wynik rozdania byłby diametralnie różny - 900 i 14 imp dla Holandii... 25 imp różnicy w niejednym meczu stanowi o całym wyniku... Tu, przy ogromnej przewadze teamu Zimmermana, nie zmieniłoby końcowego wyniku, ale tytułowe stwierdzenie na pewno niejednemu z nas kilkukrotnie wydawało się zasadne...

## LICYTACJA WYWIADOWCZA

Poszukiwanie idącego kontraktu nie zawsze jest sprawa prostą. Niejednokrotnie wymaga sporo gimnastyki, zwłaszcza, gdy przeciwnicy nie dają dolicytować. Niemniej wydłużenie licytacji, zwykle służące wymianie informacji z partnerem, czasem pozwala też dowiedzieć się czegoś o rękach przeciwników. Analogicznie do rozgrywki wywiadowczej, można to nazwać „licytacją wywiadowczą".
Popatrzmy na rozdanie z turnieju teamów: Małgorzata Jeleniewska, w założeniach obie przed, na ostatniej ręce z karta: $4 \mathrm{AKQ6}$ §J $\diamond$ AJ92 \&AJ87 skontrowała trzecioręczne otwarcie I》i dalsza licytacja potoczyła się następująco:

| West | North | East <br> Jeleniewska | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| pas | 18 | ktr. | pas |
| pas | $4 \vee$ | $?$ |  |

Na razie było prosto - druga kontra była oczywista. S spasował, a partner odszedł w 4BA. 5\% zostało skontrowane przez S i Jeleniewska postanowiła poszukać szczęścia w karach - 5 , tym razem nikt nie miał śmiałości skontrować... S zaatakowała asem kier:

| - J 108 | N | - AKQ 6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\bigcirc 1042$ |  | $\bigcirc 1$ |
| $\checkmark$ Q 63 | W E | $\checkmark$ A 92 |
| 2K1064 | S | \& AJ 87 |

i po wzięciu lewy, powtórzyła kiery królem. Jeleniewska przebiła, przeszła do stołu waletem pik i zaimpasowała kara waletem. Dzięki inteligentnie przeprowadzonej, licytacji wywiadowczej", miała silne przesłanki, że czwarta dama w treflach znajduje się w ręce S, a kara dzielą się 3-3 (gdyby ktoś z obrońców miał czwórkę, na pewno grałaby z kontrą...). Impas wyszedł. Teraz rozgrywająca ściągnęła asa karo - obaj obrońcy dołożyli po blotce... Pora na trefle - as i walet. S pobił damą, król... $N$ był bezradny - przebił królem atu i zagrał kiera. Jeleniewska przebiła, zaimpasowała dziewiątkę trefl i pewnie zrealizowała kontrakt, gdyż całość rozdania wyglądała tak, jak wynikało to z licytacji:

Rozdawał S Obie przed partią
\& 972
QQ97653
$\diamond$ K 84
\& 2
J 108
$\bigcirc 1042$
$\diamond$ Q 63
\& K 1064

\$ 543
$\bigcirc$ AK 8
$\diamond 1075$
\& Q 953

## FALOWANIE I SPADANIE...

Siedząc w brydżramie można doświadczyć falowania nastrojów. W rozdaniu 13 finału turnieju mikstów spotkały się dwie polskie pary:

Rozdawał N Obie po partii
\& 652
คA7643
$\diamond$ K 109
\& Q 10

```
& Q 7
@ K Q J
\(\diamond\) J 4
```

\& K J 9873

Przy stole było ciężko od tytułów - W i S - mistrzowie świata mikstów, E - mistrzyni Polski mikstów sprzed dwóch tygodni, S - kilkukrotny mistrz Polski w różnych konkurencjach. A Mirek Cichocki walczył, aby zostać bohaterem rozdania.

| West <br> Kowalski | North <br> Cichocki | East <br> Miszewska | South <br> Hocheker |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | pas | $1 \diamond$ | pas |
| 2 2\& | pas | $2 \diamond$ | pas |
| 3BA | pas... |  |  |

N zaatakował blotką kier,S podłożyła dziesiątkę, a Apek pobił damą. Teraz trefl - rozgrywający popatrzył podejrzliwie na dziesiątke, ale przecież N jest na pewno graczem tej klasy, że z I0x także dołożyłby dziesiątkę... Więc as i impas damy. S dołożyła szóstkę (demarka kierowa - NS graja potwierdzeniem wistu), a potem piątkę (Lavinthal na piki - wyższa z dwóch pozostałych kart). Mirek wziął i po dojrzałym namyśle zagrał królem karo. W tym momencie w brydżramie rozległy się oklaski, a ja mogłem powiedzieć, że tak się gra w Polsce. Kowalski zabił asem i odszedł w karo. S wzięła na damę i „podegrała" kiera. N pobił asem i... Odszedł w kiera.
W tym momencie usłyszałem jęk zawodu i mogłem powiedzieć jedynie, że ,„pozycja była trudna"...

## CZYTANIE RĄK

Jeszcze jedno rozdanie z tej serii... Może proste, ale dało 93\% z rozdania...
Finał mikstów, rozd. 36. Po licytacji (rozdawał W,WE po partii)

| West <br> Cichocki | North <br> Mauberquez | East <br> Hocheker | South <br> Reess |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{I} \diamond$ | 2\& | ktr. | $3 \boldsymbol{3 \%}$ |
| $3 \diamond$ | pas... |  |  |

N zaatakował królem trefl, potem ściągnął damę i powtórzył trefle po raz trzeci. S pobiła asem. Jak należy kontynuować?

```
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```

Mirek przebił i spróbował impasu kier. S wzięła damą i powtórzyła kiera. Po ukazaniu się 6 PC u S, Mirek doszedł do wniosku, że N na swoje wejście powinien mieć króla karo i zagrał asa atu... Sukces był pełny! Oto cały rozkład:


Danuta Hocheker, Poland

## MIXED PAIRS - FINAL A

(final standings - subject to confirmation)

| Names | Percentage |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| I | Catherine D OVIDIO - Philippe CRONIER | 58.75 |
| 2 | Anna ZACK EINARSSON - B.-E. EFRAIMSSON | 56.43 |
| 3 | Magdalena TICHA - Richard RITMEIJER | 55.89 |
| 4 | Carla ARNOLDS - Ton BAKKEREN | 54.44 |
| 5 | Tuna ALUF - Namik KOKTEN | 54.26 |
| 6 | Ewa KATER - Tom TOWNSEND | 54.00 |
| 7 | Marina STEGAROIU - Bogdan MARINA | 53.90 |
| 8 | Nikica SVER - Tomislav SCEPANOVIC | 53.25 |
| 9 | Fiona BROWN - Hugh McGANN | 51.87 |
| I0 | Ronnie BARR - Ilan HERBST | 51.54 |
| II | Maija ROMANOVSKA - Karlis RUBINS | 51.49 |
| I2 | Steliana IVANOVA - Atanas IVANOV | 51.16 |
| I3 | Martine VERBEEK - Huub BERTENS | 50.98 |
| I4 | Marina TETYUSHEVA - Petro KARLYKOV | 50.93 |
| I5 | Janice SEAMON-MOLSON - Jacek PSZCZOLA | 50.87 |


| I6 Monika SAUTAUX - Radoslaw SZCZEPANSKI | 50.67 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| I7 | Shaolin SUN - Ru YAN | 50.45 |
| I8 | Benedicte CRONIER - Pierre ZIMMERMANN | 50.0 I |
| I9 Marion CANONNE - Patrick SUSSEL | 49.32 |  |
| 20 Sabine AUKEN - Norberto BOCCHI | 48.62 |  |
| 21 | Natali SAADA - Yaniv ZACK | 48.34 |
| 22 Ewa MISZEWSKA - Apolinary KOWALSKI | 48.29 |  |
| 23 Nadia BEKKOUCHE - Johan UPMARK | 47.03 |  |
| 24 Philippe SOULET - Sylvie WILLARD | 46.17 |  |
| 25 Pony Beate NEHMERT - Michael YUEN | 45.34 |  |
| 26 Cecilia RIMSTEDT - Agustin MADALA | 44.80 |  |
| 27 Vanessa REESS - Eric MAUBERQUEZ | 44.53 |  |
| 28 Janet DE BOTTON - Artur MALINOWSKI | $43.6 I$ |  |
| 29 Heather DHONDY - Jeremy DHONDY | 41.86 |  |
| 30 Danuta HOCHEKER - Miroslaw CICHOCKI | 41.02 |  |

MIXED PAIRS - FINAL B
(final standings - subject to confirmation)

|  | Names | Percentage |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| I | Katarzyna DUFRAT - Michal NOWOSADZKI | 59.60 |
| 2 | Andrei ARLOVICH - Sviatlana BADRANKOVA | 59.50 |
| 3 | Mireille FAYAD - Gabriel HARFOUCHE | 58.68 |
| 4 | Pia ERKKILA - Kauko KOISTINEN | 58.64 |
| 5 | B. CALLAGHAN - Christine DUCKWORTH | 56.74 |
| 6 | Francoise VANHOUTTE - P.VANHOUTTE | 56.52 |
| 7 | Eva CAPLAN - William FRISBY | 56.36 |
| 8 | Lena LESZCZYNSKA - Witold TOMASZEK | 56.14 |
| 9 | Pavel KLEBANOVICH - Elena SHOKHAN | 56.01 |
| I0 | Marie EGGELING - Thomas GOTARD | 55.99 |
| II | Bjorn FALLENIUS - Lynn TARNOPOL | 55.71 |
| I2 | M.WOZNIAK - E. RODZIEWICZ-BIELEWICZ | 55.55 |
| I3 | Piotr BUTRYN - Natalia SAKOWSKA | 55.55 |
| I4 | Lisbeth GLAERUM - Sven Olai HOYLAND | 55.52 |
| I5 | Anna GULEVICH - Max KHVEN | 55.32 |
| I6 | Jens Ove HENNEBERG - Marlene KIRSTAN | 55.29 |
| I7 | Marco CATELLANI - Valeria BIANCHI | 55.25 |
| I8 | Stephanie JACOB - Tom JACOB | 55.20 |
| I9 | Marta JANECZEK - Aleksander KASPRZAK | 55.08 |
| 20 | Agata KOWAL - Jakub KOWAL | 55.02 |
| 21 | Jan JANSMA - Aida SALDZIEVA | 54.92 |
| 22 | Antti ELSINEN - Tiina ELSINEN | 54.83 |
| 23 | Tadeusz KACZANOWSKI - Halina SWIECH | 54.75 |
| 24 | B. BROGELAND - Tonje Aasand BROGELAND | 54.75 |
| 25 | Zeynep ALP - Okay GUR | 54.59 |
| 26 | Leszek SZKUDLAREK - Olga ZABULEWICZ | 54.50 |
| 27 | Karel DE RAEYMAEKER - Anna ONISHUK | 54.46 |
| 28 | Mircea Sergiu LUPU - Smaranda LUPU | 54.18 |
| 29 | Vadim KHOLOMEEV - Tatiana NOKHAEVA | 54.15 |

30 Jana JANKOVA - Milan MACURA
54.14

31 Ron PACHTMAN - Rozalia RONEN 54.14
32 Catherine CURTIS - Paul FEGARTY 54.II
33 Victor ARONOV - Ahu ZOBU 53.95
34 Belis ATALAY - Andrzej WITKOWSKI 53.8I
35 Marta MAJ-RUDNICKA - Jan MOSZYNSKI JR 53.56
36 David GOLD - Susanna GROSS 53.50
37 Egidijus PETRYLA - Nele PETRYLIENE 53.31
38 Danielle AVON - Jean-Michel VOLDOIRE 53.30
39 David LIGGAT - Elizabeth (Liz) McGOWAN 53.27
40 Bojan AMBROZ - Milojka AMBROZ 53.16
41 Anne GROMOELLER - Andreas KIRMSE 53.02
42 Joanna TACZEWSKA - Mikolaj TACZEWSKI 52.98
43 Ismail KANDEMIR - Sevil NUHOGLU 52.77
44 Lubomir IGNATOV - Pavlina MINEVA 52.71
45 Anna KOWALSKA - Marek TYRAN 52.71
46 Gunn HELNESS - Trygve UNDEM 52.69
47 Renata DANCEWICZ - Tomasz WINCIOREK 52.67
48 Severine DISSARD - Gert Jan PAULISSEN 52.66
49 Stephen PETERKIN - Sam PUNCH 52.66
50 Joan KENNY - Marshall LEWIS 52.50
51 Giovanni LUCCHESI - Raffaella MICHELOTTI 52.42
52 Jolanta SLAWENTA - Jozef SLAWENTA 52.4I
53 Halina KLUKOWSKA - Maciej TERPILOWSKI 52.37
54 Grazyna BUSSE - Piotr BUSSE 52.33
55 Michal NOSATZKI - Patrick SAADA 52.14
56 Amit CHAUDHURI - Eva ENGSTROM 52.13
57 Luca MARIETTI - Laura Cecilia PORRO 52.05
58 David A JACKSON - Teresa RIGNEY 52.04
59 Ilona BOBKO - Dariusz ZEMBRZUSKI 52.04

60 Waldemar FRUKACZ - Giulia NASTASE
61 Sylwester MLYNARCZUK - Danuta ZABICKA
62 Regina ZIEBICKA - Boleslaw OSTROWSKI
63 M.ASKGAARD - Christina Lund MADSEN
64 Hans-Herman GWINNER - Darina LANGER
65 Liv Marit GRUDE - Lars Arthur JOHANSEN
66 Jeffrey ALLERTON - Paula LESLIE
67 Frances HINDEN - Graham OSBORNE
68 Paul LAMFORD - Stefanie ROHAN
69 Sara CHAPLEAU - Jeff MECKSTROTH
70 Robert BOEDDEKER - Flora ZARKESCH
71 Larysa KUZNIATSOVA - Aleh TIMAKHOVICH
72 Otakar SVOBODA - Pavla SVOBODOVA
73 Stefan GEORGIEV - Svetla NENOVA
74 Catherine BEARPARK - Steve BEARPARK
75 Anna KREGLEWSKA-WNUK - T.WNUK
76 Gary GOTTLIEB - Beatrix KUZSELKA
77 Pawel GALAZKA - Joanna PRZYTYCKA
78 Fiona McQUAKER - lain SIME
79 Geir ENGEBRETSEN - Tove HAUGEN
80 Rafal JUNIK - Jolanta ZIETARA
81 Paolo CLAIR - Carla PAGNINI-ARSLAN
82 George BILSKI - Mischa SOLAR
83 Emine KONDAKCI SEN - Tezcan SEN
84 Yury KHIUPPENEN - Tatiana TAZENKOVA
85 Susan HUMPHRIES - Nick JACOB
86 Per-Arne KARLSSON - A.ROOS KARLSSON
87 B.DRINOVEC DRNOVSEK - Marjan ZADEL
88 Krister AHLESVED - Catharina FORSBERG
89 Todor KOSTADINOV - C. NALBATSKA
90 Virginia CHEDIAK - Even MORKEN
9I W. KWIATKOWSKI - Ewa MIELCARZEWICZ
92 Leyla ATALIK - Selcuk ATALIK
93 Barbara JAROTA - Jaroslaw ROMANIUK
94 Rita GHOSN - Maan HACHEM
95 Piotr ILCZUK - Paulina KLIMENTOWSKA
96 Nina ANIDJAR - Diego BRENNER
97 Victor MILMAN - Nadia STELMASHENKO
98 Alicja KUPCZYK - Roman WACHOWIAK
99 Malgorzata AUGUSTYN - Adam PESZKE
100 Petr BAHNIK - Eva BAHNIKOVA
IOI Mats ALLGOWER - Ella OLSSON
102 Iwona CZAJKA - Bogdan SZULEJEWSKI
103 R. KOWALEWSKI - Katarzyna TYSZKIEWICZ
104 Vesa LESKELA - Kirsi VIRTANEN
105 Molly PSILOU - Nikos THEOTOKIS
106 Andrzej REKOSIAK - Lidia WILCZAK
107 Walter HOEGER - Petra von MALCHUS
108 Mehmet Remzi SAKIRLER - Umran SEMERCI
109 Igor KHAZANOV - Maria LEBEDEVA
IIO Edite KLIDZEJA - Dmitri PROKHOROV
III Ferda CAKICI - Erdem OZTURK
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II2 Natalia LEPESHKEVICH - Dzmitry YASKEVICH 47.70
II3 Dariusz MORAWSKI - Irmeli SALONEN 47.63
II4 Stanislaw JANIK - Zofia MIKOLAJCZYK 47.61
II5 Michal KOPECKY - Jeanette REITZER 47.56
II6 Owen CAMP - Anisia SHAMI 47.56
117 Kitty O SHEA - Joe WALSH 47.49
118 Tine DOBBELS - Rutger VAN MECHELEN 47.40
II9 Jana ERDEOVA - Jiri MASEK 47.33
I20 Sonata SIMANAITIENE - Albertas TYLA 47.26
I2I Jacek SIKORA - Marta SIKORA 47.24
122 Fikret AYDOGDU - Sevil AKIN 46.81
I23 Andrzej HYCNAR - Renata WAJDOWICZ 46.65
I24 Jerzy ROMANOWSKI - Martine ROSSARD 46.55
I25 Lotan FISHER - Gilda WASSERMAN 46.52
126 Barbara BARAGA - Bogdan RASULA 46.39
127 Aleksandra JESENICNIK - Tolja ORAC 46.36
I28 Bogumila JAKUBOWSKA - Piotr KORECKI 46.31
I29 Wlodzimierz BUZE - Aneta JARMOCIK 46.28
I30 Anna NOVOTNA - Josef NOVOTNY 46.10
I3I Ewa Agnieszka GRABOWSKA - V.VAINIKONIS 46.10
I32 Lukasz BREDE - Malgorzata ROZMAN 46.06
I33 Jacek BALCEROWSKI - Marta BYSTRON 46.04
134 Barbara GOTARD - Tomasz GOTARD 45.82
I35 Jill KULCHYCKY - Brendan J O BRIEN 45.80
I36 Jolanta JACOSZEK - P. MALISZEWSKI 45.6I
137 Patsy MEEHAN - Marcin RUDZINSKI 45.12
138 Mehves PISAK - M.Gokhan YILMAZ 45.00
139 Teresa OLCZYK - Lechoslaw PIOTROWSKI 44.90
140 Anna SEGALOV - Zbigniew STACHNIUK 44.61
I4I M. KWIECINSKA-WILK - Maciej STEFANIUK 44.50
I42 Julide YARDIMCI - Hakan PEYRET 44.37
143 Anita FOLKMANE - Gatis GAIGALS 44.12
144 Alexander BUDAEV - Svetlana KOVTUN 43.50
145 Sandra PENFOLD - Brian SENIOR 43.4I
146 Agneta KAREKE - Torbjorn KAREKE 43.4I
147 Zdenek LASTOVICKA - Vera SCHULZOVA 43.18
148 Loek FRESEN - Waltraud VOGT 43.0I
149 Krzysztof LATOSZEWSKI - A. MANDECKA 42.56
150 J. N. HANSEN - Lars Sogaard HANSEN 41.72
15I Melih Osman SEN - Inci SUT 41.47
152 Peter LEITNER - Eva PICHLER 41.33
153 Maria WHELAN - Brian KEABLE 40.73
I54 Daniele GAVIARD - Bernard PAYEN 40.70
I55 Lone MORTENSEN - Jens Otto PEDERSEN 40.55
I56 Iman CHAMMAA - Krzysztof MARTENS 26.19
157 Jacek KLIMCZAK - Danuta KRUPNIK 18.73
158 Alicja KRZEMINSKA - Pawel KRZEMINSKI 18.52
I59 Neil ROSEN - Catherine SEALE 17.45
160 Andreas BABSCH - U. SCHRECKENBERGER I7.14
161 Heinrich BERGER - Renate HANSEN 16.74
162 Maureen HANNAH - Jimmy LEDGER
15.53

163 Tatiana DIKHNOVA - Sergei ORLOV
14.37

